JUNK is All You Need

Cornelius T. Pemberton III — Institute for Advanced Everything, University of Zurich
Minerva Stockworth-Jenkins — Department of Interdisciplinary Interdisciplinarity, MIT

Abstract

We propose JUNK (Journal of Universal Novel Knowledge) as a deliberately playful yet conceptually serious experiment in academic communication. The proposal consists of three complementary components: the JUNK platform, the JUNK dataset, and the JUNK Principle. Together, they form a lighthearted yet reflective framework for reconsidering how knowledge evolves.

1. Introduction

The rapid growth of modern academic publishing has produced an ecosystem in which the boundary between genuine discovery, incremental contribution, and enthusiastic speculation is often difficult to draw. While traditional scholarly systems attempt to filter, rank, and curate research outputs, the sheer volume of publications suggests that knowledge production may be better understood as a large-scale exploratory process rather than a perfectly optimized pipeline. Within such a process, even seemingly trivial or questionable ideas may still play a role in expanding the intellectual search space.

In this work, we propose **JUNK (Journal of Universal Novel Knowledge)** as a deliberately playful yet conceptually serious experiment in academic communication.

2. The JUNK Platform

The **JUNK platform** serves as an open venue for disseminating unconventional, speculative, or creatively framed research contributions. Instead of aggressively eliminating imperfect work, the platform aims to preserve the diversity of academic expression, recognizing that the path toward insight is often indirect.

Unlike conventional journals that impose rigid disciplinary boundaries, JUNK embraces the full spectrum of human intellectual endeavour. From quantum physics to interpretive dance theory, from machine learning to the thermodynamics of toast — no field is too established or too nascent for consideration.

3. The JUNK Dataset

We introduce the concept of the **JUNK dataset**, defined as the complete collection of submitted papers within the platform. Rather than viewing these submissions as isolated artifacts, we treat them collectively as a large corpus of intellectual exploration.

The JUNK dataset therefore represents a living archive of hypotheses, partial ideas, and occasionally accidental brilliance — an empirical record of how researchers think, experiment, and sometimes

confidently reach the wrong conclusions.

4. The JUNK Principle

We articulate the **JUNK Principle**, which provides a conceptual interpretation of the acronym itself:

- **J** represents *Justified curiosity*, the fundamental motivation that drives researchers to ask questions. - **U** denotes *Unrestricted exploration*, acknowledging that progress often requires pursuing ideas beyond conventional boundaries. - **N** stands for *Nonlinear discovery*, reflecting the unpredictable and sometimes chaotic trajectory through which meaningful insights emerge. - **K** corresponds to *Knowledge accumulation*, emphasizing that even imperfect or speculative contributions may still enrich the collective landscape of ideas.

5. Conclusion

Taken together, the JUNK platform, the JUNK dataset, and the JUNK Principle form a lighthearted yet reflective framework for reconsidering how knowledge evolves. By embracing the presence of intellectual clutter rather than attempting to eliminate it entirely, JUNK invites the academic community to consider a simple possibility: that progress may occasionally emerge not despite the junk, but because of it.

References

1. Pemberton III, C. T. (2026). On the inevitability of publishing everything. *Proceedings of the Institute for Advanced Everything*, 1(1), 1 – 42. 2. Stockworth-Jenkins, M. (2025). Interdisciplinarity and its discontents: A meta-interdisciplinary review. *Journal of Meta-Studies*, 12(3), 88 – 101. 3. Numbersworth, R. Q. (2025). Adequate statistics for inadequate hypotheses. *Annals of Approximate Mathematics*, 7(2), 14 – 29.